# SOLF – System for data acquisition in olfactometry bioassays

Marilene Fancelli<sup>1</sup>, Mabel Ribeiro Sousa<sup>1</sup>, Tibério Santos Martins da Silva<sup>1</sup>, Eduardo Augusto Girardi<sup>1</sup>, Raul Alberto Laumann<sup>2</sup> & Maurício Antonio Coelho Filho<sup>1</sup>

### **SUMMARY**

Olfactometry bioassays are useful for studying the behavioral responses of insects to volatiles of different sources: host or non-host plants, other insects or to synthetic blends. Data collection must reflect the insect behavior as it is affected by the sources of odor. The software SOLF (System for data acquisition in olfactometry bioassays) was developed at Vegetal Ecophysiology Laboratory – "Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura" as a demand of a project titled "Biological control and alternative technologies for the management of the citrus psyllid", part of the research activities inserted in an arrangement of Embrapa focused at the Huanglongbing citrus management. This software is applied to collect and manage the results obtained in olfactometry bioassays conducted with Diaphorina citri Kuwayama and also with other insect species. The routine of SOLF was developed in Visual Basic for Application (VBA) language version 7.0. The excel spreadsheet interacts with VBA in order to recognize and record each numeric keyboard typed. As response variables, the software provides information on residence time and number of entries. Before the implementation of SOLF, data collection in olfactometry bioassays was manually transcript in lab notebooks. The main benefits of SOLF are the automatization and optimization of data acquisition process during the olfactometry bioassays, which turns the acquisition of data faster, more efficient and less subject to error, leading to a better control of the process.

Index terms: software, VOC's, insect behavior.

#### SOLF - Sistema para aquisição de dados em bioensaios de olfatometria

#### **RESUMO**

Os bioensaios de olfatometria são úteis para estudar as respostas comportamentais de insetos a voláteis de diferentes fontes: plantas hospedeiras ou não-hospedeiras, outros insetos ou misturas sintéticas. A coleta de dados deve refletir o comportamento do inseto, pois é afetada pelas fontes de odor. O *software* SOLF (Sistema para aquisição de dados em bioensaios de olfatometria) foi desenvolvido no Laboratório de Ecofisiologia Vegetal - "Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura" como uma demanda de um projeto intitulado "Controle biológico e tecnologias alternativas para o gerenciamento do psílideo dos citros", parte das atividades de pesquisa inseridas em um arranjo da Embrapa focado no gerenciamento do *huanglongbing*. Este *software* é aplicado para coletar e gerenciar os resultados obtidos em bioensaios de olfatometria realizados com *Diaphorina citri* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Embrapa Mandioca e Futicultura, Cruz das Almas, BA, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, Brasília, DF, Brazil

**Corresponding author:** Marilene Fancelli, Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura, R. Embrapa, s/n, Chapadinha, CEP 44380-000, Cruz das Almas, BA, Brazil. E-mail: marilene.fancelli@embrapa.br

Kuwayama e também com outras espécies de insetos. A rotina do SOLF foi desenvolvida no Visual Basic for Application (VBA) versão 7.0. A planilha do Excel interage com o VBA para reconhecer e gravar cada teclado numérico digitado. Como variáveis de resposta, o *software* fornece informações sobre o tempo de residência e o número de entradas. Antes da implementação do SOLF, a coleta de dados em bioensaios de olfatometria foi transcrita manualmente em cadernos de laboratório. Os principais benefícios do SOLF são a automatização e otimização do processo de aquisição de dados durante os bioensaios de olfatometria, o que torna a aquisição de dados mais rápida, eficiente e menos sujeita a erros, levando a um melhor controle do processo.

Termos de indexação: software, VOC's, comportamento de insetos.

*Diaphorina citri* Kuayama, 1908 (Hemiptera, Liviidae) is the vector of Huanglongbing (HLB), the most severe citrus disease worldwide (Halbert & Manjunath, 2004). As there is no known cure for this disease, HLB management is mostly depending on the vector control (Belasque et al., 2010).

The manipulation of insect behavior is considered one of the most promising strategies for pest management (Pickett et al., 1997; Turlings & Ton, 2006; Pickett et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009; Pickett, 2012). Plant attractants and repellents can be used to repel insect pests or attract and keep natural enemies in the agroecosystem (Birkett & Pickett, 2003; Pickett et al., 2006, Bruce et al., 2005; Webster et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009; Padmaja et al., 2010).

Studies on chemical ecology has providing some good results on sustainable methods for pest management through application of push-pull systems and conservative biological control (Cook et al., 2007; Sandhu et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2015). These studies are useful for getting data on insect preferences when different sources of odor or synthetic blends are compared. Behavioral assays can be used for evaluating the effects of chemical compounds found in host plants and explain the role they can play on *D. citri* attraction, repellency, settling or growth (Robbins et al., 2012).

Data acquisition usually is performed using expensive systems or through manual collection and transcription of data in laboratory notebooks, which may cause some errors that interfere with the results of the experiments.

The objective of this work was to develop and evaluate a software for data acquisition and data management as an innovative methodology in olfactometry bioassays conducted for *D. citri*.

The system for data acquisition in olfactometry bioassays (SOLF) was developed at Vegetal Ecophysiology Laboratory – "Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura". It was first demanded for the project titled "Biological control and alternative technologies for the management of the citrus psyllid". This project is part of the research activities inserted in an arrangement of Embrapa focused at the Huanglongbing citrus management. Although this program has been developed for applying to bioassays involving *D. citri*, it can also be used for other insects.

For *D. citri*, a multiple choice olfactometer has been used (Figure 1A), but the system can be applied to two-arm olfactometers. The insect is inserted through a small hole in the central area of the olfactometer, called non-response area (area 5). The number of arms treated (areas 1 to 4) depends on the type of the bioassay. Charcoal-filtered air is pushed through the odor source chambers in direction to the olfactometer (Figure 1B). The flow rate was kept at 0.25 L/min at each arm.

The procedure used for *D. citri*, once the insect is inserted in the olfactometer, is to record its position systematically for a time interval previously determined (10 minutes in our conditions). It must be considered that the insect can move very fast inside the olfactometer (Figure 1A), requiring attention from the observer.

The time spent and the number of entries in the different areas of the olfactometer by *D. citri* are the variables usually collected in olfactometry bioassays.

The previous system (before software implementation) was based on manual registration of data obtained in olfactometry bioassays on a laboratory notebook followed by typing them in a computer spreadsheet for processing the results. This approach was time-demanding for processing the results. Besides that, as the data were manually registered and transferred to an electronic spreadsheet, the process became vulnerable to human error.

SOLF's routine was developed in Visual Basic for Application (VBA) language version 7.0. The excel spreadsheet interacts with VBA in order to recognize and record each numeric key pressed on the keyboard.

The input variables are the title of the experiment and structure of the bioassays, order of bioassays and treatments evaluated. As response variables, the software provides information on residence time, number of entries and final choice.

Every time the insect moves out of an area, a numeric key is typed according the new area to which it moved.



**Figure 1.** A four-arm olfactometer used in the olfactometry bioassays (A). Diagram of the olfactometry system used at "Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura" (B).

The elapsed time between each numeric character typed is counted in milliseconds and represents the amount of time that the insect stays in any part of arena. After 10 minutes (or another interval set) the routine is finished and all records are reported in another spreadsheet for further analysis.

The first screen presented by the software basically provides information on the experiment and a regressive counter (Figure 2). When the start button is pressed, two spreadsheets are automatically fullfilled. One of them shows the steps followed by the insect (Figure 3) and the other presents the summary for the response variables (Figure 4).

The results released by the software are presented as a summarized report of the experiment (Figure 4), allowing a classification according to the treatments evaluated and a previous analysis of them, by using statistical tools available in the spreadsheet.

Thus, SOLF implementation promotes the following benefits: i) innovative automatization and optimization of data acquisition process in olfactometry bioassays; ii) lower probability of occurrence of errors due to manual data processing, ensuring the real responses of insect behavior; iii) accessibility to data in electronic spreadsheets with a standard dataset output for further analysis; iv) higher control of the process.



Figure 2. Initial screen for data acquisition in olfactometry bioassays.

Page	initial 2	name in	OUT ON TAXABLE		Andrea Anna	take bothos	6 De																		
				FERRICISE																					
à teat		CHEM	- 10	160		- Post	an Texta P	-				10	112	Normal	ilen.		incorreto	Neutra		3	38	1221	X Adata	··· 47	- A
La Cepier		w				de Hiterry			-		10.00	in and the local division in the local division of the local divis	factor of	(rannar	12100			fatanta.		i in	inter a	(and a	a freend	Owner,	ine locality
#Pinot	te Formatação		and the second									and const 1	cono Tabeta 1			-							C Uniper	4100	** 548001
41	+ (*																								
4	8	¢	0	. E .	P	6	н			٤.	L.	M	N	0 1	P 9	8	- 5	T	ų –	v	W		X Y	2	4.4
_	-				_						_														
VEGETAL	SCOPHYSE		DRATORY		Embrar	a		VEGE		INTERLO	a l														
					_				LABORAT	TORT															
				M	indiaca e mus	CONTROL OF		_																	
			04	TA FOR EA	OI BIOASSAY	IN TRIPLICAT																			
								tim	e i milleecc	(shre															
											5 Noe-														
Responsible	Binassay	Replicate	Temperature	Oute	Time (initial)	Time (final)	Am1	Ann 2	AmJ	Acad	1 Non-														
Responsible	Homey	Replicate	Temperature	Dute	Time (initial)	Time (final)	Ann 1	Acre 2	Am J	Acc 4	1.Non- response area														
Responsible	Bioassay 1	Replicate	Temperature 24	Out# 25/09/2013	Time (initial)	Time (final) 10.56:87	Amt	Ann 2	Am J	Aca d	1 Mon- response area 7566														
Responsible angela	Binanay 1	Replicate	Temperature 24	Oute 25/09/2013	Time (initial) 33:46:02	Timo (final) 10.56/87	Ann 1 H3058	Ann 2	Ann J	Annel	1.Noe- response area 7566														
Responsible angela	#inanay 1	Roplicate 1	Temperature 24	Outa 25/09/2013	Timo (initial) 30:46:02	Time (final) 10.56/87	Am 1 HOISE	Arm 2	Am J	Acm 4	5. Non- response area 7566 827														
Responsible angela	Binassay	Replicate	Yemporature 24	Dute 25/06/2017	Timo (initial) 33:46:02	Time (final) 10.56.87 contagem	Am 1 H359	Acm 2	AmJ	Aca 4	3. Non- response area 7566 827 2														
Responsible angela	Binassay 1	Replicate	Temperature 24	Oute 25/06/2017	Timo (initial) 30.46:02	Time (final) 2056/87 contagem tempo	Am 1 145858 1 545958	Acm 2	Am J 0 0	Ann 4 248544 1 248544	5 Non- response area 7566 827 2 8355														
Responsible angela	Binnay	Replicate	Temperature 24	Oute 25/06/2017	Yime (initial) 30.46.02	Time (final) 10.54:87 contagem tempe	Ann 1 143058 1 143058	Acre 2	Am J 0	Ann 4 248544 1 248544	5 Mon- response avea 7566 827 2 8353														
Responsible angela	Binassay	Replicate	Yemperature 24	Oute 25/09/2017	Timo (initial) 30:46:02	Time (final) 10.54:87 contagem tempe	Ave 1 143858 1 343858	Acm 2	Am J 0	Aca 4	5 Mase response anno 7566 827 2 8355														
Responsible angela	1 1	Roplicate	Yemperature 24	Outa 25/06/2017	Time (initial) 30:46:02	Time (final) 20.56.87 contagen tempo	Ann 1 143058 1 343058	Acro 2	Am J 0	Ann 4	5 Mae- response area 7566 827 2 8393 3850														
Responsible angela	1 1	Replicate	Temporahara 24	Dute 25/09/2017 25/09/2017	Time (initial) 35.46.02 11.02.25	Time (final) 10.54:87 contagers tempo 11.03:04	Am 1 143050 1 343056	Acm 2 0 0	Am J 0	Ann 4	5 Moe- response area 7566 827 2 8385 5850														
Responsible angela	1 1	Replicate	Temporahara 24	Duta 25/09/2013 25/09/2013	Time (initial) 35.46.02	Time (final) 105647 contagem tempo	Ave 1 143050 1 345058 52254	Acro 2 0 0 63929	Am J 0 0	Ann 4	5.800+ response aneo 7566 827 2 8355 3650 5113														
Responsible	1 1	RopEcate	Temperature 24	Oute 25/06/2013 25/06/2013	Time [initial]	Time (final) 105647 contagers tempo 1113304	Ave 2 143058 1 345058 52254 3850	Anw 2 0 0	4m J 0	Ann 4	5.800+ response avea 7566 827 2 8355 5850 5953														
Responsible	1	RopEcate	Temporahara 24	Oute 25/06/2013 25/06/2013	Time (initial) 33:46:02	Tano (final) 2056-07 contagem tempo	Ave 1 143058 1 345058 52254 3850	Anw 2	4m3	Ann 4	5.800+ response area 7566 827 2 8555 5850 5953 165299														
Responsible	1 1	RopBcate 1	Temporahaw 28	Duta 25/08/2003 25/08/2003	Timo (initial) 30.46.02 11.02.25	Timo (final) 2056:07 contagem Tempo 3133:04	Ave 2 145050 1 345058 52254 5850	Ann 2 0 0 00029 200416	Am J	Ann 4	5.8000 response aves 7566 827 2 8355 3850 59133 185299														
Responsible	1	Roplicate 1	Temporahara 24	Duta 25/08/2003 25/08/2003	Timo (initial) 30.46.02 11.02.25	Timo (final) 1056/87 contagem 13.03.04	Ave 2 145058 1 145058 52254 3850 2 52254	Anne 2 0 0 00020 200426 2 200426 2 200426	Am J	Ann 4	5.8000 response aves 7566 827 2 8355 3850 59133 165299 5 100462														
Responsible	1 1	Roplicate	Temperatura 28	Dots 25/09/2013 25/09/2013	Yime (initial) 30.46.02	Timo (final) 1056/87 contagem hmpo 1113/04 contagem tempo	Ave 1 145058 1 345058 52254 58264 2 58264	Acre 2 0 0 60020 200416 2 362345	Am J 0 0	Ann 4	5. Money area 27566 8277 2 8353 3850 5993 185399 1 180462														
Responsible	1 1	Replicate 1	Yongocabaru 20	Duta 25/06/2013 25/06/2013	Yere (initial) 30.46.02	Tene (final) 2056/37 contagen 101000 1113/04 contagen tempo	Ave 1 145058 1 545058 52054 5850 2 58204	Acce 2 0 0 00029 205416 2 362345	Am J 0 0	Acce 4	5.8000 mappenner 37566 8277 2 83533 3850 59533 185299 8 180962														
Responsible	1 1	Replicate 1 2	Yonporahara 24	Duta 25/09/2017	Yees (initial) 30.6502	Teno (final) 10.56.87 contagem tempo 11.13.04 contagem tempo	Ann 1 143058 1 343058 52254 3850 2 52254	Ann 2 0 0 00029 200416 2 301345	Am3	Acce 4	5.8000 mponet anea 7566 827 2 8353 3850 5913 18042 3 18042														
Responsible	1	8098cate 1 2	Yengerahara 24	0xts 25/06/2007 25/06/2007	Time (inklaf) 30.46.02 11.02.25 11.02.25	Teno (final) 10.56.87 contagens 10.33.64 11.33.64 contagens 10.33.65	Ann 2 143058 1 343058 52254 58254 58254	Ann 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Am3	Acce 4	5.8000 mppenne area 37566 827 2 8393 3650 5993 165299 8 180462 25491														
Responsible angela	1	Roplicate 1 2	Yengerahara 24	0xts 25/09/2017 25/09/2017	Yime (initial) 30.46.02 11.02.25 11.02.25	View (final) 10.56.07 contagen 10.50 11.13.04 contagen 10.50 11.13.05	Aver 2 145558 1 345558 52254 5856 2 2 58264	Ann 2 0 0 00020 255416 2 345245	Am J 0 0	Ann d 200500 3 200500 9 0 7062	5.8000 mppense area 37566 827 2 8353 3850 5913 185399 3 185399 3 18545 25451 38241														

**Figure 3.** General report for data obtained in olfactometry bioassays through SOLF.



**Figure 4.** Summarized report for response variables generated in olfactometry bioassays through SOLF.

## REFERENCES

Belasque Junior J, Yamamoto PT, Miranda MP, Bassanezi RB, Ayres AJ & Bové JM (2010) Controle do huanglongbing no estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Citrus Research & Technology 31(1): 53-64.

Birkett MA & Pickett JA (2003) Aphid sex pheromones: from discovery to commercial production. Phytochemistry 62(5): 651-656.

Bruce TJA, Wadhams LJ & Woodcock CM (2005) Insect host location: a volatile situation. Trends in Plant Science 10(6): 269-274.

Cook SM, Khan ZR & Pickett JA (2007) The use of pushpull strategies in integrated pest management. Annual Review of Entomology 52: 375-400.

Halbert SE & Manjunath KL (2004) Asian citrus psyllids (Sternorrhyncha: Psyllidae) and greening disease of citrus: a literature review and assessment of risk in Florida. The Florida Entomologist 87: 330-353.

Li WZ, Yuan YH, An SH, Yuan GH, Luo MH & Guo XR (2009) Bioassays on selection and feeding responses of *Holotrichia oblita* adults to different plant species. Acta Ecologica Sinica 29(5): 293-296.

Padmaja PG, Woodcock CM & Bruce TJ (2010) Electrophysiological and behavioral responses of sorghum shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata*, to sorghum volatiles. Journal of Chemical Ecology 36(12): 1346-1353. Pickett JA (2012) New synthesis: chemical ecology and sustainable food production. Journal of Chemical Ecology 38(9): 1071.

Pickett JA, Birkett MA, Bruce TJA, Chamberlain K, Gordon-Weeks R, Matthes MC, Napier JA, Smart LE & Woodcock CM (2007) Developments in aspects of ecological phytochemistry: the role of cis-jasmone in inducible defence systems in plants. Phytochemistry 68(22): 2937-2945.

Pickett JA, Bruce TJA, Chamberlain K, Hassanali A, Khan ZR, Matthes MC, Napier JA, Smart LE, Wadhams LJ & Woodcock CM (2006) Plant volatiles yielding new ways to exploit plant defence. In: Dicke M, Takken W (Eds). Chemical ecology: from gene to ecosystem. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Springer, p. 161.

Pickett JA, Wadhams LJ & Woodcock CM (1997) Developing sustainable pest control from chemical ecology. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 64(2): 149-156.

Robbins PS, Alessandro RT, Stelinski LL & Lapointe SL (2012) Volatile profiles of young leaves of Rutaceae spp. varying in susceptibility to the Asian citrus psyllid (Hemiptera: Psyllidae). The Florida Entomologist 95(3): 774-776.

Sandhu HS, Wratten SD & Cullen R (2010) Organic agriculture and ecosystem services. Environmental Science & Policy 13(1): 1-7.

Turlings TCJ & Ton J (2006) Exploiting scents of distress: the prospect of manipulating herbivore-induced plant odours to enhance the control of agricultural pests. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 9(4): 421-427.

Webster B, Bruce T, Dufour S, Birkemeyer C, Birkett M, Hardie J & Pickett J (2008) Identification of volatile compounds used in host location by the black bean aphid, *Aphis fabae*. Journal of Chemical Ecology 34(9): 1153-1161.

Yan H, Zeng J & Zhong G (2015) The push-pull strategy for citrus psyllid control. Pest Management Science 71(7): 893-896.

Received: April 31, 2017 Accepted: October 09, 2017