

# **Clinical Case Report and Review**

# Sebaceous breast carcinoma

Natália Nobre de Alencar<sup>1</sup> (b), Diego Agra de Souza<sup>2</sup> (b), Alexandre Alves Lourenço<sup>1,2</sup> (b), Raimunda Ribeiro da Silva<sup>1,2</sup> (b)

**How to cite:** Alencar NN, Souza DA, Lourenço AA, Silva RR. Sebaceous breast carcinoma. Autops Case Rep [Internet]. 2022;12:e2021365. https://doi.org/10.4322/acr.2021.365

# ABSTRACT

Breast sebaceous carcinoma is one of the rarest breast neoplasms, with less than 30 cases reported worldwide. Due to the rarity, the new WHO classification of breast tumors grouped these tumors among the ductal carcinoma. A detailed description of these cases is relevant due to the insufficient knowledge about the prognosis of this neoplasm. We report the clinical, histological, and immunohistochemical characteristics of a case of sebaceous carcinoma of the breast in an 81-year-old woman with a right breast nodule. The tumor was composed of nests of a varying mixture of sebaceous cells with abundant slightly vacuolated cytoplasm, surrounded by smaller oval-to-fusiform cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm without vacuolization. No lymph node metastases were present. The immunohistochemical reactions were positive for GATA3, EMA, CD15, and GCDFP15 (focal staining), and negative for RE, RP, and HER-2. The tumor was classified as triple-negative. Morphologically, the differential diagnoses included skin sebaceous carcinoma, lipid-rich carcinoma, apocrine carcinoma, and glycogen-rich clear cell carcinoma. Most of the previously reported cases were positive for RE and RP, which generally was associated with a better prognosis. However, some cases presented a more aggressive behavior with distant and lymph node metastases.

#### **Keywords:**

Adenocarcinoma, Adenocarcinoma Sebaceous, Breast Neoplasms, Carcinoma, Sebaceous Gland Neoplasms

## **INTRODUCTION**

The breast sebaceous carcinoma (SC) is a rare primary carcinoma with prominent sebaceous differentiation but without evidence of derivation from cutaneous adnexa.<sup>1,2</sup> Only a few cases have been reported; thus, the knowledge about the pathophysiology and prognosis is limited.<sup>3</sup> We report a case of breast sebaceous carcinoma and review the clinical, immunohistochemical, and histopathologic findings of previously reported cases.

A literature review was performed using the descriptors "sebaceous", "breast" and "carcinoma".

The following search platforms were used: Embase, Scielo, BVS, Pubmed, Google Scholar. Initially, 404 articles were found in Google Scholar, 257 in Embase, 1 in the BVS, and none in SciElo. Articles related to genetic research or description of sebaceous tumors from other locations were excluded. Due to the scant information, abstracts of case reports presented at congresses were also excluded. Some articles were indexed in two or more databases. Most of the selected articles were published in English, except for two of them. These were published in German and Spanish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hospital do Câncer Aldenora Bello, Laboratório de Patologia, São Luís, MA, Brasil



Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA), Hospital Universitário, São Luís, MA, Brasil

Finally, 25 well-described and well-documented case reports articles were selected. These articles contain reports of 27 cases of sebaceous breast carcinoma.

# **CASE REPORT**

An 81-year-old black woman sought medical care after self-detection of a lump in her right breast. She denied alcoholism and smoking. History of hysterectomy for leiomyomatosis. Upon clinical examination, a 5.0 cm nodule was noted in the superomedial quadrant of the right breast. She underwent a biopsy five months later. Histopathological examination of the biopsy specimen revealed histological Nottingham grade II invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast. The delayed diagnosis was due to the patient's social and financial restraints. Four months after the biopsy, she was referred to our hospital. The patient underwent segmental resection of the breast and sentinel lymph node and started adjuvant radiotherapy a month later. The analysis of the tissue sections showed a brownish firm-elastic tumor with well-defined margins, measuring 3.4 cm in its longest axis, and at a distance of 0.5 cm from the skin.

Histopathological examination revealed breast sebaceous carcinoma of Bloom and Richardson<sup>4</sup> grade III type (nuclear grade 3, histologic grade 3, mitotic grade 3) (Figure 1). A desmoplastic reaction was observed with mild inflammatory infiltrates, absence of angiolymphatic and perineural invasion, as well as the absence of metastatic disease in the examined sentinel lymph node. The pathologic staging was pT2 pN0 (TNM 8th edition).



**Figure 1.** Photomicrograph of the tumor. **A**, **B**, **C** – Lobules of tumor cells with abundant vacuolated cytoplasm, surrounded by more basophilic tumor cells in the periphery of the lobules (HE); **D** – Clusters of cells without evidence of sebaceous differentiation, indistinguishable from a classic ductal carcinoma (HE).

The immunohistochemical panel revealed positivity for EMA (Figure 2A), GATA3 (Figure 2B), AE1AE3, CK7, CD15 (Figure 2C), GCDFP15 (focal staining) (Figure 2D), PMS2, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and negativity for P63, Melan-A, HMB45, 1A4, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (RP), mammaglobin, calponin, and HER2 (+1). Thus, the tumor was classified as triplenegative sebaceous carcinoma (SC) of the breast.

The risks and benefits of an 81-year-old woman with other comorbidities were considered, and it was decided not to undergo adjuvant chemotherapy. Follow-up was conducted every three months for nine months. classification, primary breast SC shows prominent sebaceous differentiation and no evidence of derivation from cutaneous adnexa. The previous WHO classification included the criterion that sebaceous differentiation occurs in at least 50% of cells.<sup>1,2</sup>

Histologically, it is characterized by a lobulated or nested proliferation of a varying mixture of sebaceous cells with abundant, slightly vacuolated cytoplasm surrounded by smaller, oval to fusiform cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm without vacuolization. The nuclei of the sebaceous component are mainly located eccentrically and vary from small monomorphic cells to larger pleomorphic cells; mitotic figures may be abundant.<sup>2</sup> This was observed in our case (Figure 1).

The differential diagnosis of breast SC includes skin sebaceous carcinoma, lipid-rich carcinoma, apocrine carcinoma, and glycogen-rich clear cell carcinoma.<sup>1,3,5-7</sup>

**Figure 2.** Photomicrograph of the tumor. Immunohistochemical panel. **A** – Positive expression of EMA in the tumor cells (100 x); **B** – Positive expression of GATA-3 in the tumor cells (25 x); **C** – Strongly positive expression of CD15 in the tumor cells with sebaceous differentiation (100 x); **D** – Focal positivity of GCDFP15 in the tumor Cells (25X)

### DISCUSSION

Primary breast SC is rare and resembles skin sebaceous carcinoma. According to the current WHO

The distinction of breast SC from skin SC can be made when there is no microscopic or macroscopic connection between the tumor and the overlying skin or when the tumor is surrounded by breast tissue.<sup>3,8</sup> In our case, there were no signs of skin involvement. The main characteristics of the other differential diagnoses are summarized in Table 1.

The origin of sebaceous cells in breast carcinoma is still unclear. The histogenic hypotheses include the existence of a ductal reserve cell capable of sebaceous differentiation and the displacement of an embryonic group of epidermal cells in the breast parenchyma.<sup>5</sup>

To date, 27 well-documented cases have been reported in English, including ours. The clinical and pathologic characteristics observed in these cases are available in Table 2. Out of the cases in these reports, twenty-three patients were women with ages within 25 to 85 years and three were men aged between 55 and 70 years, the mean age being 60,3 years (53,08- 67,52, IC 95%). Our patient's age was within the expected age range.

It was also observed that the mean size of the lesions was 2,7 cm (2,03-3,38, IC 95%). Only 22 cases had reported the prognoses: nine of the 23 patients had metastases to the axillary lymph node, four patients experienced an aggressive behavior and distant metastasis (Table 2).

As shown in Table 3, immunohistochemical characterization (positive reactions) was: ER (+ 16/23,

69,57% IC 95%: 0.4894 to 0.8459), PR (+ 13/17, 76,47% IC 95%: 0.5223 to 0.9095), HER-2 (+2/21, 9,52% IC 95%: 0.0145 to 0.3012), EMA (+11/12, 91,67% IC 95% 0.6247 a >0.9999), and GCDFP-15 (+1/8, 12,5% IC 95% 0.0011 to 0.4922). Thus, breast sebaceous carcinoma was shown to have a high positive expression of ER, PR and EMA (Figure 2A) and a low positive expression of HER-2 and GCDFP-15 (Figure 2D).

The high ER and PR positivity rate in breast sebaceous carcinoma indicates that it is a hormone receptor-dependent breast cancer.<sup>5</sup> Based on hormone receptor state and HER2 expression, the number of cases of sebaceous breast cancer of the luminal, HER2positive, and triple-negative subtypes were thirteen, two, and five, respectively, which indicates that the luminal type is the most common subtype of breast SC and that this disease has a good prognosis and low invasiveness.<sup>5</sup> However, some cases exhibited a more aggressive lineage, with distant and lymph node metastases.<sup>3,5,6,10,12,13</sup> Our patient, unlike the majority of the described cases, was negative for ER, PR, and HER-2, and was therefore classified as triple-negative.

Positivity for GATA3 (Figure 2B) and focal positivity for GCDFP15 (Figure 2D) reinforced the breast origin of the tumor cells, and positivity for CD15 (Figure 2C) confirmed sebaceous differentiation. Our case was the first described in the literature for which

	Breast SC	Skin SC	Lipid-rich carcinoma	Apocrine carcinoma	Glycogen-rich clear cell carcinoma	
Cell types	2 types	2 types	+2 types	2 types	1 type	
Cytoplasm	Vacuolated	Vacuolated	Vacuolated, lipid- rich	Granular eosinophilic and/ or vacuolated	Clear and abundant, glycogen-rich	
Architecture	Lobules or nests	Lobules and nests	Irregular	Glandular and tubular	Solid or papillary	
Mitoses	Present (moderate	Present	Present	Present	Present	
	to severe)	(Moderate to severe)	(Moderate to severe)	(Moderate to severe)		
Connection with the skin	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	
GCDFP-15	Generally -			+		
PAS	-	-	-	-	+	

**Table 1.** Summary of the main morphological differences between breast SC (sebaceous carcinoma), skin SC, lipid-rich carcinoma, and glycogen-rich clear cell carcinoma

PAS – Ácido periódico de Schiff.

Ref.	Age/Sex	Size	LN metastases	FOLLOW UP
9	74/F	5.0 cm		Alive without evidence of disease for 6 months after surgery.
10	55/M	5.0 cm	-	Alive without evidence of disease for 10 months after surgery.
5	45/F	2.5	-	Alive with disease for 96 months after surgery.
		СП		Skin and bone metastases.
11	46/F	1.4 cm		Alive without evidence of disease for 6 months after surgery.
12	63/F	2 cm	+	
13	50/F	2 cm	+	Alive without evidence of disease for 24 months after surgery.
14	85/F	2.5 cm		
-				Disease recurrence after 10 months after surgery
3	65/F	1.6 cm	+	Alive without evidence of disease for 27 months after surgery.
3	61/F	1.7 cm	+	Liver, lung, and bone metastases, pathologic fracture of the femur, arrhythmia.
		CIII		Deceased from the disease 28 months after surgery.
3	66/F	3 cm	+	Endometrial carcinoma; metastases to the mediastinal and supraclavicular lymph nodes.
				Alive with disease for 70 months after surgery.
3	25/F		-	Had a child.
				Alive without evidence of disease for 75 months after surgery.
15	80/F	3.5	-	Alive with evidence of disease.
		СП		Metastatic cervical carcinoma 16 months after surgery.
6	55/F	6.5 cm	-	Alive without evidence of disease for 13 months after surgery.
6	42/F	2.5 cm	+	Alive without evidence of disease for 15 months after surgery.
16	74/F	2.3 cm	-	Alive without evidence of disease for 58 months after surgery.
17	51/F	2.0 cm	-	
Our case	81/F	3.4 cm		Alive without evidence of disease for 9 months after surgery
18	71/F	1.4 cm	-	Alive without evidence of disease for 18 months after surgery.
7	70/M	1.7 cm	-	
19	47/F	1.3 cm	-	Alive without evidence of disease for 16 months after surgery.
20	79/F	1.5 cm		Alive without evidence of disease for 36 months after surgery
21	63/F	1.8 cm	+	
22	65/F	1.1 cm	+	Refused further treatment. Recurrence disease 4 months after surgery. Died at ninth month of follow-up.
22	71/F	2.7 cm	-	Alive without evidence of disease for 100 months after surgery.
23	70/M	1.7 cm	-	Alive without evidence of disease for 2 months after surgery.

Table 2. Clinicopathological summary and follow-up of patients with breast sebaceous carcinoma

LN= lymph nodes; F = Sexo feminino; M = Sexo masculino; - = Negativo para metastases em linfonodo sentinela; + = Positivo para metátastases em linfonodo sentinela.

#### Table 2. Continued...

Ref.	Age/Sex	Size	LN metastases	FOLLOW UP
24	65/F	3.0 cm	+	Alive without evidence of disease for 4 months after surgery.
25	53/F	1.2 cm	-	

LN= lymph nodes; F = Sexo feminino; M = Sexo masculino; - = Negativo para metastases em linfonodo sentinela; + = Positivo para metátastases em linfonodo sentinela.

Table 3. Summary of im	nmunohistochemica	data for breast	sebaceous	carcinoma
------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------	-----------

Ref	ER	PR	HER2	Ki67	P53	GCD- FP-15	EMA	AR	GATA3	Mglb	CD15	AE1AE3	S
9							+					+	
5	+	+	-	16%									
12	+	+	-	38%			+	-					
13	-	-	+	30%			+	+				+	
14	-	-	-	25%		-						+	
3	+	+	-	30%	+		+						
	-	-	-	80%	-		+						
	+	+	-	5%		-	-						
	+	+	-			-	+	-					
15	-	-	-				+	-					
6	-	-	+	50%		-							
6	+	-	-	60%	+	-							
OC	-	-	-	25%	-	+ f	+		+	-	+	+	
19	-	-	-	90%									
7	+	+	-	20%				+		+ (focal)			
17	+	+f	-	20%				+					
16	+	+	-	20%				+	+				
18	+	+f	-	35%			+	+					
20	+	+	-				+					+	
21	+			60%		-			+				
22	-	-	-					+f	+				
22	+	-						-	+				
23	+	+		20%				+	+	+f			
24	+	+	-	60%		-	+					+	+
25	+	+	-	40%				-	+				+f

ER= Estrogen-receptor; +f = focal, Mgbl= mammaglobin; OC= our case; PR=progesterone receptor, Ref= reference; S= synaptophysin; AR = androgen receptor; EMA = epithelial membrane antingen; + = Positive.

CD15 immunostaining was performed; this marker was shown to be positive in skin sebaceous carcinomas.<sup>26,27</sup>

fits better the diagnosis of lipid-rich carcinoma due to sebaceous carcinoma.<sup>25</sup>

We understand as the first description of sebaceous breast carcinoma the publication by Prescott RJ<sup>9</sup> of 1992. However, Bogaert and Madalgue<sup>25</sup> publication is cited as the first description. We find that the latter Finally, the positive expression of the PMS2, MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 proteins, used to detect microsatellite instability, permitted us to rule out the Muir-Torres syndrome, which is characterized by

the coexistence of sebaceous gland neoplasms and multiple visceral neoplasms.<sup>8</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

We report a rare case of an 81-year-old woman with breast sebaceous carcinoma who, unlike what is expected for this subtype, was negative for RE, RP, and HER2. The tumor was thus classified as triplenegative, while most other reported cases had a high rate of positive expression of RE and RP and a low rate of positive expression of HER-2 and GCDFP-15. Our case is the first to be described in the literature, which had CD15 immunostaining performed, a positive marker in skin sebaceous carcinomas. Although breast sebaceous carcinomas are mostly of the luminal subtype, associated with a good prognosis, our analysis revealed cases that present a more aggressive lineage with distant and lymph node metastases. We conclude that the pathophysiology and prognosis of breast sebaceous carcinoma are poorly understood due to its rareness, and requires further study.

# REFERENCES

- Eusebi V, Ichihara S, Vincent-Salomon A, Sneige N, Sapino A. Exceptionally rare types and variants. In: Lakhani SR, Ellis IO, Schnitt SJ, Tan PH, van de Vijver MJ, editors. WHO classification of tumours of the breast. 4th ed. Lyon: IARC; 2012. p. 71-6.
- WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. Breast tumours. 5th ed. Lyon: IARC Publications; 2019. (WHO Classification of Tumours; 2) [cited 2020 Jan 10]. Available from: https://publications.iarc.fr/581
- Švajdler M, Baník P, Poliaková K, et al. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast: report of four cases and review of the literature. Pol J Pathol. 2015;66(2):142-8. http:// dx.doi.org/10.5114/pjp.2015.53010. PMid:26247527.
- Bloom HJ, Richardson WW. Histological grading and prognosis in breast cancer; a study of 1409 cases of which 359 have been followed for 15 years. Br J Cancer. 1957;11(3):359-77. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ bjc.1957.43. PMid:13499785.
- Varga Z, Kolb SA, Flury R, Burkhard R, Caduff R. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast. Pathol Int. 2000;50(1):63-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1827.2000.01003.x. PMid:10692180.
- 6. Heng C, Wei T, Yingbing T, Hanzhong L. Clinicopathological characteristics of breast sebaceous adenocarcinoma. Pol

J Pathol. 2018;69(3):226-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.5114/ pjp.2018.79542. PMid:30509049.

- Krishnamurthy K, Kochiyil J, Mesko T, Poppiti R, Siganeshan V. Primary sebaceous carcinoma of the male breast: case report and review of literature. Breast J. 2020;26(5):1015-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tbj.13658. PMid:31595593.
- Kazakov DV, Spagnolo DV, Kacerovska D, Rychly B, Michal M. Cutaneous type adnexal tumors outside the skin. Am J Dermatopathol. 2011;33(3):303-15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/DAD.0b013e3181db1da3. PMid:20711062.
- Prescott RJ, Eyden BP, Reeve NL. Sebaceous differentiation in a breast carcinoma with ductal, myoepithelial and squamous elements. Histopathology. 1992;21(2):181-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2559.1992.tb00371.x. PMid:1324221.
- Mazzella FM, Sieber SC, Braza F. Ductal carcinoma of male breast with prominent lipid-rich component. Pathology. 1995;27(3):280-3. http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/00313029500169123. PMid:8532397.
- 11. Propeck PA, Warner T, Scanlan KA. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast in a patient with Muir-Torre syndrome. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2000;174(2):541-2. http://dx.doi. org/10.2214/ajr.174.2.1740541. PMid:10658739.
- Hisaoka M, Takamatsu Y, Hirano Y, Maeda H, Hamada T. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast: case report and review of the literature. Virchows Arch. 2006;449(4):484-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00428-006-0264-8. PMid:16944238.
- Murakami A, Kawachi K, Sasaki T, Ishikawa T, Nagashima Y, Nozawa A. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast. Pathol Int. 2009;59(3):188-92. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1440-1827.2009.02349.x. PMid:19261098.
- Ramljak V, Sarcevic B, Vrdoljak DV, Kelcec IB, Agai M, Ostović KT. Fine needle aspiration cytology in diagnosing rare breast carcinoma – two case reports. Coll Antropol. 2010;34(1):201-5. PMid:20432752.
- 15. Yamamoto Y, Nakamura T, Koyama H, Kanai T, Moritani S, Ichihara S. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast: a case report. Surg Case Rep. 2017;3(1):38. http://dx.doi. org/10.1186/s40792-017-0312-4. PMid:28233285.
- Sakai Y, Ohta M, Imamura Y. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast: histological, cytological, and ultrastructural features. Breast J. 2017;24(4):656-57. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/tbj.13017. PMid:29498454.
- Acosta AM, Al Rasheed MRH, Xu H, Salibay C, Pins MR. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast in a patient with a pathogenic BRCA2 (886delGT) mutation – focus on histopathologic and immunohistochemical features. APMIS. 2018;126(4):353-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ apm.12826. PMid:29575201.

- Medarde Ferrer M, Aparicio Rodriguez O, Navarro Soto S. Breast sebaecous carcinoma, a very infrequente diagnosis. Eur J Cancer. 2018;92:S54-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0959-8049(18)30388-5.
- 19. Ohno K, Okada T, Nakamura T, Koyama H. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast predominantly characterized by intraductal growth: a case report. Surg Case Rep. 2020;6(1):41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40792-020-0799-y. PMid:32095941.
- Kyriakos Saad M, Ghandour F, El Hachem G, Ghandour-Hajj F, El Hajj I, Saikaly E. Special type breast carcinoma: sebaceous carcinoma of the breast; A case report and review of literature. Breast J. 2020;26(10):2034-7. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/tbj.13963. PMid:32623776.
- 21. De Vincentiis L, Mariani MP, Cesinaro AM, Dalena AM, Ferrara G. Sebaceous carcinoma of the breast: fact or fiction? A case report and a review of the literature. Int J Surg Pathol. 2021;29(2):211-5. http://dx.doi. org/10.1177/1066896920937784. PMid:32608286.
- Maia T, Amendoeira I. Breast sebaceous carcinoma-a rare entity. Clinico-pathological description of two cases and brief review. Virchows Arch. 2018;472(5):877-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00428-018-2327-z. PMid:29556777.

- Krishnamurthy K, Kochiyil J, Mesko T, Poppiti R, Siganeshan V. Primary sebaceous carcinoma of the male breast: case report and review of literature. Breast J. 2020;26(5):1015-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tbj.13658. PMid:31595593.
- 24. Wachter DL, Rauh C, Wenkel E, Fasching PA, Beckmann MW. Sebaziöses Mammakarzinom Fallbericht einesseltenen speziellen Subtyps. Der Pathologe. 2014;35:72-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00292-013-1844-4.
- 25. Bogaert L-J, Maldague P. Histologic variants of lipidsecreting carcinoma of the breast. Virchows Arch A Pathol Anat Histol. 1977;375(4):345-53. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/BF00427064. PMid:199989.
- 26. Rutten A, Wick MR, Sangueza OP, Wallace C. Tumours with sebaceous differentiation. In: World Health Organization, editor. WHO classification of tumours. Lyon: IARC Press; 2006. p. 160-3.
- 27. Ribero S, Baduel ES, Brizio M, et al. Metastatic sebaceous cell carcinoma, review of the literature and use of electrochemotherapy as possible new treatment modality. Radiol Oncol. 2016;50(3):308-12. http://dx.doi. org/10.1515/raon-2016-0039. PMid:27679547.

This study was carried out at Hospital de Câncer Aldenora Bello

**Authors' contributions:** Diego Agra de Souza and Alexandre Alves Lourenço were responsible for the diagnosis. Raimunda Ribeiro da Silva and Natália Nobre de Alencar retrieved data from the medical record. Diego Agra and Natália Nobre Alencar performed a literature review and wrote the article.

Ethics statement: The authors have the clearance for publication by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

Conflict of interest: None

#### Financial support: None

**Submitted on:** October 4<sup>th</sup>, 2021 **Accepted on:** February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022

#### Correspondence

Diego Agra de Souza Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Maceió Rua Barão de Maceió, 346, CEP 57020-360, Maceió, AL, Brasil Phone: +55 (82) 98721-2442 diegoagra87@gmail.com